BOHM, MATSEN, KEGEL & AGUILERA, LLP Kari M. Myron (Bar No. 158592) Matthew J. Salcedo (Bar No. 237866) 695 Town Center Drive, Ste. 700 3 Costa Mesa, California 92626 Telephone: (714) 384-6500 Facsimile: (714) 384-6501 5 kmyron@bmkalaw.com 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 STEVEN BENHAYON 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 STEVEN BENHAYON, an Individual, Case No.: CV08-06090 FMC (AGRx) Assigned to Hon. Florence-Marie 12 Plaintiff, 13 VS. 14 15 ROYAL BANK OF CANADA, a Canadian company, business form unknown; RBC WEALTH MANAGEMENT COMPANY, formerly RBC DAIN RAUSCHER, INC., 17 business form unknown; THE ROYAL 18 BANK OF CANADA US WEALTH ACCUMULATION PLAN, formerly known 19 **RBC** Dain Rauscher Wealth 20 Accumulation Plan; and, DOES 1 through 20, 21 22 Defendants. DATE: 23

Cooper [Courtroom 750 (Roybal)] PLAINTIFF'S SEPARATE

STATEMENT OF GENUINE ISSUES OF MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE

[Filed concurrently with Plaintiff's Reply Brief Re: ERISA Issues and Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Separate Statement of Uncontroverted Facts and Conclusions of Law]

Not set. TIME: Not set. CTRM: Not set.

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD HEREIN:

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff STEVEN BENHAYON hereby submits the following Separate Statement of Genuine Issues Facts of Material Fact and Supporting Evidence in opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment as follows.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

On July 31, 2009, Plaintiff filed an *Ex Parte* Application for an Order Continuing the ERISA Reply Brief Due Date, or, in the Alternative, for an Order Shortening Time To Depose RBC's PMK and Shortening Time for RBC to Respond to Request for Production of Documents at Time of PMK Deposition. In a good faith effort to comply with this Court's Simultaneous Briefing Order and to preserve its rights in this litigation, Plaintiff hereby submits this Separate Statement of Genuine Issues Facts of Material Fact and Supporting Evidence in opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. In so doing, Plaintiff does not waive its rights or arguments contained in the *Ex Parte* Application.

PLAINTIFF'S GENUINE ISSUES OF MATERIAL FACT	SUPPORTING EVIDENCE
1. RBC does not dispute that the	Defendants' Notice of Motion and
WAP is an "employee benefit plan"	Motion for Partial Summary Judgment
within the meaning of Section 3(2) of	on Plaintiff's Claim for benefits under
ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1002(2).	the Royal Bank of Canada US Wealth
	Accumulation Plan ("Defendants' MSJ")
	2:21-3:2.
2. The WAP is not a top hat plan as	SAA 056 through SAA 072; AA 010
defined by 29 U.S.C. §§ 1051(2),	through AA 028; AA 030 through AA
1081(a)(3), and 1101(a)(1).	047.
3. The WAP covered approximately	AA 009.
1,200 employees.	

PLAINTIFF'S GENUINE ISSUES OF MATERIAL FACT	SUPPORTING EVIDENCE
4. Steven Benhayon was a sales	Declaration of Christopher W. Decker in
employee of RBC.	Support of Defendants' MSJ, ¶ 2,
	Exhibit A, pages 2 through 8.
5. In 2003, Steven Benhayon was	SAA 056 through SAA 072
required to defer compensation to his	
WAP account in order to receive	
company matchings.	
6. In 2003, Steven Benhayon was	SAA 0057-SAA 00061.
required to defer compensation to his	
WAP account in order to receive a WAP	
Production Bonus.	
7. In 2003, Steven Benhayon	SAA 0057-SAA 00061; AA 0002.
deferred 20 percent of his compensation	
to the WAP in order to receive benefits	
from RBC.	
8. Steven Benhayon's WAP	SAA 00048-00051; SAA 00056-00072.
Production Bonus was due to vest on	
January 1, 2008.	
9. Steven Benhayon's Company	SAA 00048-00051; SAA 00056-00072.
Variable Match was due to vest on	
January 1, 2008.	
10. On September 17, 2007, Steven	AA 072, 074-075.
Benhayon was terminated from	
employment with RBC involuntarily.	
employment with RBC involuntarily.	

	DI CINOLENO CIDATENE CONTROL	
$1 \parallel$	PLAINTIFF'S GENUINE ISSUES OF MATERIAL FACT	SUPPORTING EVIDENCE
2		
•	11. Steven Benhayon was terminated	AA 072, 074-075.
	as part of a reduction in force.	
	12. Steven Benhayon was terminated	AA 072, 074-075.
	for "restructuring."	
	13. The WAP does not address a	SAA 056 through SAA 072; AA 010
	participant's termination without cause.	through AA 028; AA 030 through AA
		047.
	14. The WAP does not address how a	SAA 056 through SAA 072; AA 010
	participant's WAP account benefits vest	through AA 028; AA 030 through AA
	upon termination without cause.	047.
	15. The WAP does not address how a	SAA 056 through SAA 072; AA 010
	participant's WAP account benefits are	through AA 028; AA 030 through AA
	to be distributed upon termination	047.
	without cause.	
	16. The 2006 WAP lacks an	AA 033.
	integration clause.	
	17. The 2007 WAP lacks an	AA 103.
	integration clause.	
	18. The WAP does not define the term	SAA 056 through SAA 072; AA 010
	"restructuring."	through AA 028; AA 030 through AA
		047.
	19. The WAP Committee met on	AA 303.
	April 7, 2008 to address the vesting of	
	Steven Benhayon's WAP benefits.	
╟		

1	PLAINTIFF'S GENUINE ISSUES OF	SUPPORTING EVIDENCE
2	<u>MATERIAL FACT</u>	
3	20. The WAP was not an unfunded	SAA 056 through SAA 072; AA 010
4	plan.	through AA 028; AA 030 through AA
5		047.
6		
7	DATED: August 5, 2009 BOHM, M	ATSEN, KEGEL & AGUILERA, LLP
8	DITIED. August 3, 2009 DOMN, IVI	A ISBNYREGEL & AGUILERA, LLP
9	_ //	
10	By:/ Kari	M Myron

Kari M. Myron Matthew J Salcedo,

Attorneys for Plaintiff STEVEN

BENHAYON